

Guy Fawkes Folly : A note on how not to be a
Terrorist

By

Indana Simonde

Isbn : 9781696836258

A note on any offence caused thus far

It is far easier to cause offence than to seek a remedy for any offence(s) caused or damage(s) caused to any one individual in life. Where there is a need for remedial work to be undertaken, the key skill is in noting as a mediator, who is at fault and why they are at fault and where there is a need for remedy, the most important aspect is that the accused understands why they are required to remedy a situation. As a child, I failed to realise the value of money, which has travelled into adulthood in a world in which, there are very few things that are of value. Money does not constitute a route to heaven, regardless of whether it has god "*written on it*" due to the fact that Jesus Christ, the son of Mary and Joseph who was born in Bethlehem and died on a cross in Jerusalem, was far from pleased with money. Money, it has been noted is the route to all evil. As such, any money is a corrupting influence, regardless of whether you have it or not. Those who do not have money are corrupted in such a manner as to gain what they do not have. Those who do have money are corrupted in such a manner as to keep or give what was not theirs to give.

Money does not belong to men or women, and neither does it belong to churches or to countries. It was founded by people who became a part of history when they passed from this mortal coil with a view to seeing the face of God. The idea of a dream that allows individuals to gain incredible levels of wealth in an upwardly mobile society is skewed (with regards to individuals who without recourse to finance or funding, would remain a member of the community they live in, within the class they live in).

Social stratification based on materialistic wealth and accumulation for the sake of your family is all well and good, as most people of a Christian persuasion will value material and wealth. But when the bible states '*blessed are the poor*' the question has to be asked; of all the people who have ever lived on the streets of any country, or who have starved on the streets of any country throughout history, how many of them would be classed as blessed? Of all the people classed as '*travellers*' or '*gypsy*' (which I would assume is as offensive as a racist taunt), how many of them have been blessed to be poor? Of all the people who live without homes, how many of them

are blessed to have little or no shelter at night? Of all the people without homes, how many of them are blessed to sleep in cemeteries or witness the very heart of public entertainment (the night life) whilst attempting to sleep? How many people are proud to have a cup filled with money they had to beg for?

Money, as designed by the grand architects of society is the route to the destruction of all nations. Every war requires money from public funds (communal funds) to pay for new and more advanced methods of murder. New and more advanced ways of training people to kill. So why kill if you get paid? Because you get paid to kill?

Reading a person their last rights in jail prior to an execution (an archaic tool for removing the life from another human being) is a process that was dispensed with almost a century ago in Britain. It lacks the faith and trust placed in a civil populous and respect for the dignity of a human life. The worth of a human life, regardless of age, race, class or sex. So who decides who's life is worth something and who's life is worthless? This is not a criticism or a judgement (where as a well meaning adult or reasoned child) you might be able to articulate your thoughts in a cohesive and conclusive manner such that you are able to assess your surroundings, the community you live in and then decide whether you are right, your faith is right or your belief is right.

Money is the downfall of society, due to the corruption that is created amongst members of a community. Sometimes it is one member, sometimes it is all members who are forsaken as a result in modernity. But in the past, the money paid for metal for armour and swords. For wood, for ships. For sheep and goats, cows and corn, or even rice and potatoes. Famine and sickness were widespread, almost endemic. They have been eradicated in the western world, but illness and sicknesses that are being cured by doctors and are treatable are not being treated in Africa (by all bar the charity of organisations such as the Red Cross or Disaster Relief). Gratitude to these organisations is one thing, but should any single individual or community, nation or continent require an over arching need, a dependence on charitable giving?

Remembering the Nationalist socialist government of Germany every day is a fear that many have for fear of their re-emergence. But forgetting them is a fear that none seem to share due to the rise of

nuclear states. How much is a Nuclear bomb worth in comparison to a human life? And more to the point, when a nuclear bomb ceases to be nuclear is it still worth the same in comparison to a human life? How many more lives are saved by building farms (for jobs, food and community growth), schools, colleges and universities (for education of children, adults and everyone in between) and hospitals (for education and healing of a general populous along with jobs and community growth, support and health of individuals and communities).

Socialism is welfare of an entire group of people. But that welfare is only as strong as the educational system that supports it. Global Disarmament in light of this fact is a simple prayer. Forgive the modern generation of German citizens. Forgive the modern generation of Israeli citizens and pray they learn to forgive one another because two or three generations from now, it will be all the more difficult to open a dialogue. If every nation worked together, and worked as governments do, for the public(s) of every nation, we would live on a peaceful globe. 1963-68 saw unrivalled potential for the entirety of the human population despite space age technology and team working systems hailed as a miracle for the entire world, only one or two continents have been left out of the scientific discovery of research in space apparently due to education and choice from the victors of war.

If war is all there is, why allow any civil population to have children, to grow in affection and hope or love for one another. If war is all there is, why allow any nation time to develop armaments and weapons when war will become inevitable. If war is all there is, why have history? Because all there is for the future is hope that we as a species on this planet, a shared common genus that has divergent trends is as always left in a moral quandary as to how best to promote peace. So, here is a simple solution:

if every single person in any one country, city or even street becomes an ambassador for their country, anyone who is not involved in making peace will not see space travel with their own eyes. As a result, their kids and their country will not see space travel.

Sounds fair enough, which would make Earth heaven, or hell or purgatory for any individual who is enlisted in space travel and

exploration. But why should you listen to me ranting about something I have no control over?

On the meaning of society, class and civilisation

Adam Smith designed an idea of the value of certain items that were common in the age with which he resided on planet Earth. This is prior to the advent of the mass consumption of various fast-moving-consumer-goods. An example might be the daily consumption of pre-cooked foods. Whether bought from a fast food outlet or from a supermarket. In industrial and financial districts, it is more likely you will likely have competition and competition in itself has a lot to answer for as businesses lack a soul despite containing potentially many millions of souls within their doors and walls.

The idea that capitalism has failed my generation is an interesting note on the economic markets stability and (or) instability. This has affected the Gross Domestic and Gross National Product of the nation in which deficits exist where more resources, more human resources and more tanks, planes and ships are required. But why do you need ships to protect people who cannot fight themselves? Why should anyone fight for people they don't know? *(my children believe that honour, pride and dignity are inherently bound within the idea of fighting a war for something that is greater than they are). I am (was) an African, now I live in Britain. I define my culture and nationality as the place I was raised and also as the place my passport is bound (containing languages of the four nations of which I have been adopted – despite only really having lived in one).

Jesus Christ threw people out of a temple once, and threw money out of the temple at the same time, he rejected the idea of money as it was a corrupting influence. He didn't wear a golden crown or sit on a royal throne on earth but was destroyed by the idea of power and influence, inherently bound within the Caesar who crucified him and the nobles and elites who sacrificed and betrayed him. The Philistines of old (the people who paid Judas in silver) caused the death of Judas, who was corrupted by them. So that's murder on one count. The caused the death of Jesus (murder on two counts). Christians have been persecuted internationally and murdered (murder count rising). And then Christians began to get attacked in their superiority (post World War II) and became the aggressors. All this because 2,000 years ago someone who is now immortalised for

life said he would be back. He came back to life and no-one believed it was him. Did anyone deserve salvation back then? Would any of us (as Christians) have Christian salvation were it not for Jesus Christ? Is his sacrificial (archaic idea of killing or sacrificing ones soul for the greater good) for a world that didn't deserve salvation fair? Global Disarmament, the laying down of all weapons, be they nuclear, projectile or fissile or otherwise is a simple rhetorical question. If you create the rules, laws and define how society should operate, yet you fear the very people you serve, such that you fight a war with both the people and with the public(s) of other countries; destroying your enemies completely, then have you fulfilled a religious sentiment? Yes. Have you listened to god? No.

People were saved through belief in god. Without having knowledge of a bible, of which the New Testament is less bloodthirsty than that of the Old Testament. When I say I renounce all faith, I don't mean New or Old Testament, I don't mean Islam versus Christianity or Buddhism versus Hindu or Urdu, I don't even mean any nondescript or unmentioned religion. Rather I mean **all** religion so that you can show Christ how to be a better believer in the idols mankind has created. I once thought I was saved, now this is not about religion. Its about the world. It's about you.

On the meaning of an oral versus written history

What does a written history of a bible mean, when the original translation, written and printed centuries ago in Latin is only available at expense in a dead language that only a minority of individuals globally speaks? What does it mean to the memory of the original Roman invaders and conquerors? What does it mean to the rest of the world? If you ask someone about the history of the Longboat they will likely tell you stories of Norse invasions and conquests for war.

Now tell me the history of Africa? I could tell you about the Egyptians (ancient) as many tombs of early Pharaoh's have been discovered. But tell me the story of the humans who survived in Africa at the time of Christ and you would say? What of the languages spoken at the time of Christ? Who was Artaxerxes and where did he live? Where was there an empire or a conquest to find the holy grail in Africa?

What is the difference between an oral history versus a written history? Oral history refers to the passing on of information from one person or one group to another, in only that format. So any history is shared and like '*Chinese whispers*' will become transformed over time. This is a game that is played in school to explain to children how a message evolves over time. It requires good listening skills and good spoken skills in order to understand a message and then pass it on. How do you move on from the past? How do you forgive your enemies? By pretending they are your friends until you eventually grow to trust them. When you trust them, and they trust you, then all you have is trust (as opposed to war).

What is the difference between a written history and an oral history? A written history is usually saved for later dissemination, usually on paper, but in the modern age it is also electronic. If the writer (such as in this case) requests that the information in all of his work (such as in this case) is left unchanged in all forms, languages and styles such that you might see the difference between the growth of an individual from childhood / adolescence to adulthood through narrative then, it will become evident. The message is simple. As an African / Afro-Scot / Briton, I have learnt to value life in the country I

live in. I have learnt to value life. But just because I value my life, why should anyone else value my life?

I valued Karl Marx and Leon Trotsky growing up. I agreed with peaceful protests such as those carried out by Martin Luther King. I respect world leaders, authors and subjects of the Crown alike to the point where, I realised that in comparison to history, my life is worth a lot less now than then. But in reality, I have my own self-value now in comparison to then. Britain didn't have a civil rights movement because the Representation of the People Acts and now the Devolved Governments (are) the civil rights movements for an entire populous regardless of race, sex or faith etc.

Karl Marx tried to educate a public about the evils of uneducated ways of life, and made his work(s) complicated but easy enough for people at the time (educated or otherwise) to learn from and to follow. Trotsky founded an econometric system that both led to the end of the reign of the Russian royal family and also to the foundation of the now non-existent United Soviet States of Russia.

Now, imagine a world where the capital you have still remains in your bank account, to commemorate the life and death of a monarch who saved the world through her devotion to the very same public. And then rather than using the same monetary system, you favoured one that allowed any accrued monies to be transferred automatically to someone who does not have anything at all. Not in comparison to yourself, but just simply to someone who has absolutely nothing. How would you know whether they received it (the money)? **[you have a mobile phone?]** and why should you help a person **[because rather than having a pen pal at school, adopt a family member or even a family – your economy does not match theirs, your wealth and ability to buy does not match theirs – your space race does not match theirs – your poverty does not match theirs – your infrastructure does not match theirs – your community does not match theirs – your life and lifestyle does not match theirs – you are not the same therefore there is no equality and until the entire world is equal, there will never be equality between the sexes, races, classes or religions].**

On the value of a family history

I can recite my family history going back three or so generations. What about you?

On the value of a background

What does a background mean, outside of a psychological evaluation of a common sense attitude towards a socio-econometric system? What do you think hurts more, the fact that as someone who would have been classed as lower than most I am lucky and can't do much to help others or the fact that as someone who is classed as lower than most I am lucky and despite trying to do a lot am still facing the same barriers that Martin Luther King would have faced in his day?

I am not a Jewish member of the community. I apologise for brining up a terrible situation that caused the death of many millions. The reason for it, as with all the atrocious text between there and now, was to make one simple point. Racism stole and fractured Judaism, racism broke apart a nation state, racism broke apart a planet and it's history. Classism killed many potential households and elitism allows many more potential households to die as opposed to creating a sustainable dialogue, fixing the environment and saving life after life after life.

Have you, the reader, ever tried not to judge anyone for a minimum of a year or two years? What about twenty years? I'm thirty five (my words have been my bain but they are just that, words). If I was an ambassador for all people, I would choose to be an ambassador for Earth. But I don't get to choose.

On the merit of employment

What use is a less than well paid job? Without an education what use is a well paid job? Without anyone to spend money on, what use is a well paid job? Without a home, what use is a well paid job? Without peace, what use is a well paid job? Without love, what use is a well paid job? Without family or faith, what use is a well paid job? Without good health, what use is a well paid job? Without an education what use is a well paid job? Without an ability to completely fulfil a role, what use is a well paid job?

Without an opinion, what use is a well paid job? With an opinion, what use is a well paid job? What is a well paid job in comparison to the opposite (no job)?

What use is having no job to an economy? What use is a job if it doesn't help society? What use is society if it repeats the mistakes of another nation? What use is another nation if it guides you to become them? What use is a leader without an idea, a vision or a goal? What use is a leader if he doesn't tell the people what they need (not what his / her agenda is)? What use is the ability to stockpile for coming disaster when you have nuclear weapons? What use is an army if they can't protect the nation? What use is an army if the world is a battlefield? What use is a battlefield if everyone is dead? What does the word 'medic' mean?

On the value of money versus the value of an economic system

An economic system such as Capitalism, long held to have its founding frustrations and pitfalls equally is the saving grace of many a nation. But what if Marx was right? Education led to a revolution in Russia, and allowed the proletariat (the name of the lower classes at the time) to not only butcher with pitchforks their nobility and some of the army, but equally allowed a mass murderer to take charge of the nation and change the direction of history, opening many a grave. Their name(s) were Stalin / Rasputin. The current president is named President Putin. He is touted as a new Tsar. Give him all the money and make him, like all the other oligarchs very rich and humble. And then focus on God, of whom we all trust. Discard the money and focus on God, of whom we all trust. Feed the poor and discard the money, to focus on god, of whom we all trust. Feed those without, and discard the money of whom, we all trust in God. Clothe the people, and discard the money of which all of us trust in God. Build hospitals, in order to trust in God, of whom we trust. Build schools in order to trust in God, of whom we all trust. Build courts and police stations for the God of whom we all trust. Build fire stations and trucks for God, of whom we all trust. Build ambulances and train staff, for God, in who's name we trust.

An economic system such as Socialism doesn't work for one reason. Who amongst you, of all the people in the world, who amongst you would give up all things, money, houses and cars, planes and restaurants, companies and employees, power, control and status for a chance to be near god?

Is money god? Did god decide to make money or was he the one who made his son, who then said money was wrong, the route to all evil? I am the have-not(s) so it is easier for me to judge. But either way, what use is being a have? What use is being a have-not? What use is a trickle down economy? What use is power? What use is knowledge?

Is it selfish to assume that it is easier to do what the bible says, help your neighbour etc. and be good to one another? Or is it easier to

live in a gated community away from the very people you fear (or don't as the case may happen to be)? Is it easier to fight war after war and have no soul or is it easier to type? I find it easier to type than to fight. But in typing I am fighting. The evil in my own head or the evil in my own heart versus the evil in someone else's head and someone else's heart. Joan of Arc received a message from God centuries ago and followed. She led a country to war. Moses led an entire people(s) away from Egypt and introduced the commandments of God. They now are the most elite of soldiers on the planet with their own martial art. I am a man, some would call me a black man, I could say I received a message from God **"but no-one would listen!"** so instead, I write the same messages day after day, in the hopes that maybe, just maybe one person might listen and look to why we learn about Woodrow Wilson and his dream for the League of Nations over warfare throughout a world that doesn't really understand or deserve peace. For anyone who has lost family to war anywhere in the world (as it touches every household and every family, similar to cancer in the modern age), I am sorry for your loss. Though my words may be of little to no consolation.

On the value and foundation of equality (in my lifetime)

Global Disarmament is equality. Armed struggle is oppression. Who disarms first? North Korea? I wrote to the leader of North Korea on Facebook and asked him to disarm. Germany? I wrote to the entire government of Germany *(asking them to disarm years ago, now look at Syria and ask how many nations bombed Syria out of existence – with equal backing for a holy war?) I wrote to the Russian government (asking for disarmament). I wrote to the government(s) of the United Kingdom, to the United Nations and also to the American President as well as the pope (all asking for, peace – i.e. the very thing the League of Nations, now the United Nations was set up to do. Germany paid reparations for a war that cost millions of lives and destroyed the world. So this time round who pays reparations to whom and why?).

I have put aside my national allegiances (both African and also British) to make note that as a citizen of the world (I would have to renounce a passport I cannot use) I was born on the only known planet to hold life, and it is a miracle that life still exists. Chernobyl as an exercise in the futility of radiation disasters with regards to nuclear fission. Now if all nuclear states learnt the same way, and shared in the same way, resources from nations that had and did not have, there would be equality.

How much is a diamond ring worth? \$100 – 2000+? how much is the life that mined that diamond ring? Or the life before it? Or the life before it? Or the unnamed life before it? Or the unnamed life before it? Or the man, woman or child who mined that diamond long before pneumatic drills and machinery of manufacture? How much is a diamond ring worth if you have to dig for it yourself? How much is anything worth if you have to do it yourself?

A question of civilisation and civility is herein pronounced;
“if you don’t have to repay anything for anything you do, but the law is a legitimate route to creating infrastructure anywhere, and all you wish to do is hold a world to ransom and blame individuals for things they cannot change, whilst you have the power to

change everything. Why not change the world and create actual equality?”

I could answer the question with my own views (personal or private wealth, accumulated over one or many lifetimes, shared with very few private individuals at the cost to the public with a view to enriching the lives of children) or simply because its easier to let one person starve in a camp surrounded by complete strangers, rather than address the problem with society. The people don’t care or know what the truly disturbing thing about poverty is. It isn’t everywhere, because true poverty doesn’t have a credit card or a bank card. It doesn’t have clothes. It doesn’t have words even apart from;
“Do you have some spare change!”

On the meaning of and value of equality (in my lifetime)

Equality is meaningless. To say that one person is equal to me means little to nothing to the homeless as a community of people without a roof in any country. It means nothing to anyone who has a terminal illness. It means nothing to anyone who has to fight for a vaccine, or justice, or love or truth, or support or freedom. It means nothing to men who are abused like women. It means nothing to women who have to struggle like men. It means nothing to you and as a result, it means nothing to me.

Notes

Notes

Notes

Notes

What is equality?

Equality is the ability to choose where you sit on a bus. It is the ability to have friends, not a race of friends but just friends without the race. Equality is the ability to have a partner (love interest future or former or somewhere in between). Equality is not just about what sex or orientation your partner is, it is everything. e.g. you both have phones (well equality in communication). You have a clock (equality in timekeeping). You both have lamps (equality in illumination). You can both read (equality in education). You can both go to university (equality of opportunity). You both can sit in the same seat on a bus (equality of transport). You both can learn of constitutional ideas such as liberty (equality is freedom).

What is not equality

inequality. Unequal division of money, resources, property, rights, male / female and individual sub-categories, faith and belief structures, armed forces, armed incursions on foreign soil, space races, technology booms, baby booms, education, trust, thought, word, deed, society, class, civilisation, conquest, empire, the world, an armed world in conflict, the literature(s) of the United Nations, African Union and European Union (despite them all doing the same things).

Notes

Notes

Notes

Proof